

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S MOTION
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OVER
CERTAIN EXHIBITS TO THE STROZ
DUE DILIGENCE REPORT**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed a Motion For Protective Order Over Certain
2 Exhibits To The Stroz Due Diligence Report (the “Motion”).

3 Having considered the Motion, and good cause having been shown, the Court **GRANTS**
4 Waymo’s Motion for Protective Order and **ORDERS** that the Exhibits to the Stroz Due Diligence
5 Report be designated under the parties’ stipulated protective order as set forth in the Motion.

6
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: _____, 2017

10 _____
11 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28